REMARKS

Claim Rejections

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Gleener (U.S. 6,339,405). Claims 3-4 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gleener.

Claims 15-20 are allowed. Claims 7 and 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Drawings

It is noted that the Examiner has accepted the drawings as originally filed with this application.

Amendments to Specification

Applicant has amended the specification as noted above to cure obvious grammatical and idiomatic inaccuracies. No "new matter" has been added to the original disclosure by the foregoing amendments to the specification.

Claim Amendments

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 2-11 and amended claims 1, 14 and 15 to obviate the objections set forth in the outstanding Office Action. It is believed that the amended claims specifically set forth each element of Applicant's invention in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Claims 15-20 are allowed.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 7 and 12-14 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form. Applicant's amended claim 1 comprises a combination of original claims 1 and 7, thus redrafting claim 7 in independent form. Original claims 12 and 13 and amended claim 14 all depend from amended claim 1. In the absence of any art cited against Applicant's original claim 7, it is not believed that any detailed discussion of the cited prior art references is necessary.

Application No. 10/628,256

Suffice to say that all of the claims remaining in this patent application contain subject matter against which no prior art citations have been made.

Summary

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: <u>January 18, 2005</u>

By:

Bruce H. Troxell Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC 5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404 Falls Church, Virginia 22041 Telephone: 703 575-2711

Telefax: